

NATIONAL JUDICIAL ACADEMY
P-1087: Workshop for Additional District Judges
23rd – 25th February, 2018

Programme Coordinator : Ms. Ankita Pandey, Faculty, NJA, Bhopal
No. of Participants : 35
No. of forms received : 35

| I. OVERALL | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| PROPOSITIONS | To a great extent | To some extent | Not at all | Remarks |
| a. The objective of the Program was clear to me | 94.12 | 5.88 | - | - |
| b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work | 97.06 | 2.94 | - | |
| c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program | 94.12 | 5.88 | - | - |
| d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work | 88.24 | 11.76 | - | - |
| e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences | 88.24 | 11.76 | - | - |
| II. KNOWLEDGE | | | | |
| PROPOSITIONS | To a great extent | To some extent | Not at all | Remarks |
| The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is: | | | | |
| a. Useful to my work | 84.38 | 15.63 | - | - |
| b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists) | 66.67 | 33.33 | - | - |

| | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------|---|----------------|
| c. Up to date | 66.67 | 33.33 | - | - |
| d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice | 75.00 | 21.88 | 3.13 | - |
| e. Related to International Legal Norms | 54.55 | 33.33 | 12.12 | - |
| III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM | | | | |
| PROPOSITIONS | Good | Satisfactory | Unsatisfactory | Remarks |
| a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical | 85.29 | 14.71 | - | - |
| b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz. | | | | |
| (i) Group discussion cleared many doubts | 61.76 | 35.29 | 2.94 | |
| (ii) Case studies were relevant | 73.53 | 20.59 | 5.88 | - |
| (iii) Interactive sessions were fruitful | 73.53 | 23.53 | 2.94 | - |
| (iv) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial | 51.61 | 45.16 | 3.23 | - |
| <i>(To be modified as per the sessions planned)</i> | | | | |
| IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING | | | | |
| Parameters | | | | |
| Session | Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized | | The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons | |
| | Effective and Useful | Satisfactory | Effective and Useful | Satisfactory |
| 1 | 85.29 | 14.71 | 84.21 | 11.11 |
| 2 | 79.41 | 20.59 | 84.21 | 15.79 |
| 3 | 85.29 | 14.71 | 89.47 | 10.53 |
| 4 | 90.91 | 9.09 | 88.24 | 11.76 |
| 5 | 87.88 | 12.12 | 82.35 | 17.65 |
| 6 | 84.85 | 15.15 | 82.35 | 17.65 |
| 7 | 93.94 | 6.06 | 100.00 | - |
| 8 | 93.75 | 6.25 | 100.00 | - |
| V. PROGRAM MATERIALS | | | | |

| PROPOSITIONS | To a great extent | To some extent | Not at all | Remarks |
|---|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| a. The Program material is useful and relevant | 88.24 | 11.76 | - | - |
| b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area | 81.82 | 18.18 | - | - |
| c. The content was organized and easy to follow | 84.85 | 15.15 | - | - |

| VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS | |
|---|---|
| 1. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme | <p>1. 1. Learnt how to use ADR; 2. Came to know several things about fair trial; 3. Felt enriched in cyber law and electronic evidences.</p> <p>2. Further useful understanding about Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction; 2. Fair sessions trial; 3. Electronic evidence.</p> <p>3. Very useful group discussion; Clarity on laws; 3. Interaction between other state judicial officers.</p> <p>4. 1. Better understanding of ADR mechanisms 2. Approach towards sentencing; 3. Cyber-crime now it is committed and safeguards, How to check the authenticity of investigation.</p> <p>5. How to manage the case & court work; How to try the Criminal & Civil as early on possible; cyber & crimes & evidence.</p> <p>6. Learnt so much from resource persons and with interactions with participants.</p> <p>7. The whole programme was fruitful in the sense that it will help me in dispensing the justice in fair manner. Got the opportunity to share the views with legal luminaries.</p> <p>8. 1. Appellate, Revision Appeals; 2. Good understanding of ADR very educative from the perspective of court work. 3. Electronic evidence.</p> <p>9. I came across very valuable unknown facts related with cyber-crimes. Sentencing issues and court and case management.</p> <p>10. Very interactive; Very innovative; Knowledgeable.</p> <p>11. 1. Interactive session; 2. Up to date knowledge of the subject; 3. Crisp presentation by the resource persons.</p> <p>12. The programme is as well organized. It has cleared my doubts.</p> <p>13. Learnt a lot regarding ADR programme and on cyber-crime.</p> |

| | |
|--|--|
| | <p>14. ADR, Cyber-crimes & appeal.</p> <p>15. No suggestion, the entire programme was well organised.</p> <p>16. Latest laws; Perspective of all the participants; Cyber awareness.</p> <p>17. Latest laws very useful.</p> <p>18. Many doubts in my mind were cleared.</p> <p>19. 1. Cyber-crime; 2. ADR; 3. Got new aspects on sessions trial also.</p> <p>20. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>21. 1. How to make effective work within existing infrastructure; 3. Cyber laws and related aspects.</p> <p>22. 1. Use and misuse of mobile phones and internet; Role of sessions judges in criminal appeals and session trial.</p> <p>23. Discussion & presentation by Mr. Harold D’Costa – relation to cyber-crimes & collection of evidence and its preservation.</p> <p>24. On cyber law; On appeal & revising; On sentencing.</p> <p>25. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>26. 1. Implementation of the ADR; 2. Cyber-crimes & programme of evidence.</p> <p>27. Electronic Evidence usage and preservation.</p> <p>28. 1. Use of ADR in more efficiency; 2. Evidence of CDR and its appreciation; Sentencing policy.</p> <p>29. 1. Need to resort to ADR; 2. Cybercrime related day to day useful knowledge will help in recording and appreciating evidence.</p> <p>30. 1. Sentencing policy to consider all stakeholders; 2. Cyber-crimes; 3. What judge should look in e-evidence.</p> <p>31. Cybercrimes.</p> <p>32. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>33. Principle of sentencing; Cyber-crimes.</p> <p>34. 1. Case management & court management; 2. Fair sessions trial; 3. Cyber-crimes.</p> <p>35. 1. Discipline; 2. Innovative knowledge of cyber-crimes; 3. Case management.</p> |
| <p>2. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why</p> | <p>1. Electronic evidence & law relating to cybercrimes were most useful.</p> <p>2. Every session but Session 4: Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges. It gave more clear ideas.</p> <p>3. All parts of programme were useful; all are related with daily working of the court.</p> <p>4. All were informative as we are greatly helped to have a deeper understanding of the finer aspects of our sphere of work.</p> <p>5. Cyber crime is new subject.</p> <p>6. I find laws relating to cybercrimes. (In fact I found all the programme useful)</p> <p>7. I found Session 3: Civil Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges; Session 4: Criminal Justice Administration:</p> |

Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges; **Session 5:** Sentencing: Issues and Challenges; **Session 7:** Laws relating to Cybercrimes: Advances and Bottlenecks and **Session 8:** Electronic Evidence : Collection, Preservation and Appreciation- most useful since it will be beneficial for disposing justice in civil justice administration and criminal justice administration.

8. Electronic evidence was most helpful.

9. Deliberation relating with cybercrimes and sentencing issue because all these topics are very useful in court work.

10. Sentencing part.

11. All the sessions.

12. The approach in civil & criminal cases and sentencing part. Learnt cyber law.

13. Cyber-crime.

14. Law relating to cyber-crimes because of daily court work.

15. Cyber laws.

16. Criminal jurisdiction; Cyber laws.

17. Cyber-crimes advances and bottlenecks.

18. All programme are scientifically arranged, No comment.

19. All the programme is useful to me and most of all cyber-crime.

20. **Session 7:** Laws relating to Cybercrimes: Advances and Bottlenecks and **Session 8:** Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation.

21. Cyber laws and related aspect, How to ascertain genuine from spoof and mode of investigation.

22. Cyber-crimes.

23. As above along with panel discussion made in **Session 4:** Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of District Judges; **Session 5:** Sentencing: Issues and Challenges; **Session 6:** Fair Sessions Trial: Best practices. Infraction with Hon'ble Judges very effective.

24. Cyber law, as same is the upcoming area in judiciary.

25. Participant did not comment.

26. All the topics.

27. All the programme give knowledge.

28. Sentencing policy.

29. Cybercrimes & electronic evidence as certain day to day problems are solved.

| | |
|---|--|
| | <p>30. Cybercrimes- because new area & many things/doubts are cleared.</p> <p>31. Session 7: Laws relating to Cybercrimes: Advances and Bottlenecks and Session 8: Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation and Appreciation.</p> <p>32. The Session on cyber-crimes. Excellent lecture. The time was too short.</p> <p>33. All parts because the resource persons was having subject knowledge on their respective fields.</p> <p>34. Cyber-crimes.</p> <p>35. Cyber-crimes.</p> |
| <p>3. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why</p> | <p>1. None.</p> <p>2. Nil</p> <p>3. No part.</p> <p>4. None</p> <p>5. Challenges in implementation of the ADR because litigants are not supported willingly & advocates are not given to them.</p> <p>6. I found all programme useful.</p> <p>7. Session 2: Court & Case Management: Role of Judges – court and case management cannot be guided by any specified formula and depends upon various factors.</p> <p>8. All the programmes were good & educative.</p> <p>9. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>10. None</p> <p>11. None</p> <p>12. All</p> <p>13. All the programme are useful in my opinion.</p> <p>14. Nothing.</p> <p>15. All the programme was useful.</p> <p>16. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>17. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>18. No</p> <p>19. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>20. Participant did not comment.</p> |

| | |
|---|---|
| | <p>21. NA</p> <p>22. Civil appeal.</p> <p>23. Does not arise.</p> <p>24. No such part.</p> <p>25. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>26. No comments.</p> <p>27. No</p> <p>28. Court management and case management.</p> <p>29. Fair session's trial.</p> <p>30. Mediation as it is going on for quite some time.</p> <p>31. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>32. No short comings</p> <p>33. All are useful.</p> <p>34. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>35. Implementation of ADR because it is supplement to institutional system of judicial function.</p> |
| <p>4. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p> | <p>1. Family may also be allowed to stay on extra payment.</p> <p>2. The NJA can allow spouse of officer to stay on extra charges.</p> <p>3. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>4. Spouse should be allowed subject to payment.</p> <p>5. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>6. NA</p> <p>7. The lady officers should be allowed to be accompanied by their spouses. The programmes should be conducted for the district judiciary regularly so that the Officers are made up to date on legal principles and the judicial officers have the opportunity to be guided by the legal luminaries.</p> <p>8. There should be city visiting vehicles availability to participants as it was in previous years.</p> <p>9. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>10. Such type of training programme is to continue for all judicial officers. Very useful for court working.</p> <p>11. The NJA is up to date. No need to change the concept.</p> |

| | |
|--|--|
| | <p>12. None</p> <p>13. The time duration in some special subject should be more.</p> <p>14. Everything is good.</p> <p>15. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>16. Everything is perfect; Spouses should be allowed.</p> <p>17. Everything is perfect.</p> <p>18. No comment.</p> <p>19. Time may be extended.</p> <p>20. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>21. Continue this type of conference periodically by that we can enhance & upgrade our knowledge.</p> <p>22. A session on arbitration law is essential for district judges.</p> <p>23. The topic chosen appropriately & useful to the ADJ.</p> <p>24. Provide sports channel in the TV installed in rooms.</p> <p>25. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>26. No comment.</p> <p>27. Following the same.</p> <p>28. Practical problems faced by judicial officers at station may be called before the program and can be discussed in detail at 8the time of session.</p> <p>29. Participant be provided study material well in time. That is before joining the training or course. So that we can participate more actively.</p> <p>30. Programmes are arranged in most practical ways perhaps more exercises on small points may be useful.</p> <p>31. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>32. Excellent programme.</p> <p>33. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>34. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>35. No more expectation.</p> |
|--|--|